SEMINOLE COUNTY GOVERNMENT AGENDA MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: <u>Professional Services: PS-2468-07/BHJ - Master Agreement for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Services</u>

DEPARTMENT: Administrative Services **DIVISION:** Purchasing and Contracts

AUTHORIZED BY: Frank Raymond CONTACT: David Santiago EXT: 7106

MOTION/RECOMMENDATION:

Approve ranking list and authorize staff to negotiate rates for PS-2468-07/BHJ - Master Agreement for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Services with CDM, Inc. of Orlando; MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc. of Orlando; and BCI Engineers & Scientist, Inc. of Orlando (Estimated Usage of \$475,000.00 per year).

County-wide Ray Hooper

BACKGROUND:

PS-2468-07/BHJ will provide professional services related to the County's NPDES permit requirements. These requirements may include, but are not limited to, surface water management system inspections and retrofit designs, annual report preparation, TMDL development and support services, training and monitoring.

The project was publicly advertised and the County received sixteen (16) submittals (listed alphabetically):

- BCI Engineers & Scientist, Inc.
- Bentley Architects & Engineers, Inc.
- Bio-Tech Consulting, Inc.
- · CDM, Inc.
- E Sciences, Inc.
- EMS Scientist, Engineers and Planners, Inc.
- H & T Consultants, Inc.
- MACTEC Engineering and Consulting, Inc.
- · PSI, Inc.
- Qore Property Sciences
- Reiss Environmental, Inc.
- Royal Consulting Services, Inc.
- Storm H2O, LLC
- Sutron Corporation
- TEK Science and Engineering
- Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

The Evaluation Committee, which consisted of Albert English, Roads-Stormwater Division Manager, Public Works; Mark Flomerfelt, Principal Engineer, Public Works; Kim Ornberg, Principle Engineer, Public Works; and Shannon Wetzel, Principle Environmental Scientist,

Public Works, evaluated the submittals and agreed to shortlist six (6) firms. The Evaluation Committee, with the exception of Gloria Eby, Project Coordinator II, Public Works and Marianne Pluchino, Senior Environmental Scientist, Public Works (unable to attend Presentation Meeting), interviewed these firms giving consideration to the following criteria:

- Project Approach and Understanding Project
- Similar Project Experience
- Innovative Ideas

The attached backup documentation includes the Bid Tabulation, the Presentation Summary & Scoring Sheets, the Evaluation Scoring Sheet and the Project Scope. The Evaluation Committee recommends that the Board approve the ranking below and authorize staff to negotiate rates with the top three (3) ranked firms in accordance with F.S. 287.055, the Consultants Competitive Negotiation Act (CCNA):

- 1. CDM, Inc.
- 2. MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc.
- 3. BCI Engineers & Scientist, Inc.
- 4. Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.
- 5. Reiss Environmental, Inc.
- 5. E Sciences, Inc.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Board approve ranking list and authorize staff to negotiate rates for PS-2468-07/BHJ - Master Agreement for National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Services with CDM, Inc. of Orlando; MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc. of Orlando; and BCI Engineers & Scientist, Inc. of Orlando (Estimated Usage of \$475,000.00 per year).

ATTACHMENTS:

1. PS-2468-07_BHJ Backup Evaluation Scoring Sheets

Additionally Reviewed By:

County Attorney Review (Ann Colby)

B.C.C. - SEMINOLE COUNTY, FL PS TABULATION SHEET

PS NUMBER: PS-2468-07/BHJ

PS TITLE : Master Agreement for National Pollutant Elimination

Discharge System (NPDES) Services

ALL SUBMITTALS ACCEPTED BY SEMINOLE COUNTY ARE SUBJECT TO THE COUNTY'S TERMS AND CONDITIONS AND ANY AND ALL ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS SUBMITTED BY THE PROPOSERS ARE REJECTED AND SHALL HAVE NO FORCE AND EFFECT. PS DOCUMENTS FROM THE PROPOSERS LISTED HEREIN ARE THE ONLY SUBMITTALS RECEIVED TIMELY AS OF THE ABOVE OPENING DATE AND TIME. ALL OTHER PS DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO THIS SOLICITATION, IF ANY, ARE HEREBY REJECTED AS LATE.

DATE: October 17, 2007 TIME: 2:00 P.M.

RESPONSE -1-	RESPONSE -2-	RESPONSE -3-	RESPONSE -4-	RESPONSE -5-
BCI Engineers & Scientists,	Bentley Architects + Engineers,	Bio-Tech Consulting, Inc.	CDM	E Sciences, Inc.
Inc.	Inc.	315 N. Ferncreek Ave.	2301 Maitland Center Parkway	228 S. Hughey Ave.
2000 E. Edgewood Dr.	665 West Warren Ave.	Orlando, FL 32803	STE 300	Orlando, FL 32801
STE 215	Longwood, FL 32750		Maitland, FL 32751	
Lakeland, FL 33803				
Timothy Kelly, P.E.	Molly A. DeVivero, P.E.	Joseph Galletti	Brian W. Mack, P.E.	James S. Bassett, P.E.
(863) 667-2345 - Phone	(407) 331-1616 - Phone	(407) 894-5969 – Phone	(407) 660-2552 - Phone	(407) 481-9006 - Phone
(863) 667-2662 – Fax	(407) 331-4566 – Fax	(407) 894-5970 – Fax	(407) 875-1161 – Fax	(407) 481-9627 – Fax
RESPONSE -6-	RESPONSE -7-	RESPONSE -8-	RESPONSE -9-	RESPONSE -10-
EMS Scientists, Engineers,	H & T Consultants, Inc.	MACTEC Engineering &	PSI, Inc.	Qore Property Sciences
Planners, Inc	9310 Old Kings Rd S.	Consulting, Inc.	1748 33 rd St.	598 S. Northlake Blvd.
393 Center Pointe Cir.	STE 1001	4150 N. John Young Parkway	Orlando, FL 32839	Altamonte Springs, FL 32701-
STE 1483	Jacksonville, FL 32257	Orlando, FL 32804		5228
Altamonte Springs, FL				
32701	Ctanlay IIII D.F	Todd D. Cobasit	Catharias M. Kasas	Daywaa and A. Chairean D.E.
Nadias Canasa	Stanley Hill, P.E.	Todd D. Schmitt	Catherine M. Knapp	Raymond A. Shriver, P.E.
Nadine Gannon	(904) 419-1001 – Phone	(407) 522-7570 – Phone	(407) 304-5560 – Phone	(407) 645-3400 – Phone
(407) 260-0333 - Phone (407) 331-4176 - Fax	(904) 419-1004 – Fax	(407) 522-7576 – Fax	(407) 304-5561 – Fax	(407) 467-0476 – Cell
(401) 331-4110 - Fax				

RESPONSE -11-	RESPONSE -12-	RESPONSE -13-	RESPONSE -14-	RESPONSE -15-
Reiss Environmental, Inc. 12001 Research Parkway STE 228 Orlando, FL 32826	Royal Consulting Services, Inc. 211 West Warren Ave. Longwood, FL 32750	Storm H2O, LLC 531 Cedar Forest Circle Orlando, FL 32828	Sutron Corporation 21300 Ridgetop Circle Sterling, VA 20166	TEK Science and Engineering 3006 Moss Valley Place Winter Park, FL 32792
James A. Murin, P.E. (407) 679-5358 – Phone (407) 679-5003 – Fax	Joel G. Jordan, P.E. (407) 831-3095 – Phone (407) 831-5095 – Fax	Patricia Tierney (407) 474-8786 – Phone (407) 281-7840 – Fax	Siaka Kone, Ph.D. (703) 406-2800 – Phone (703) 406-2801 – Fax	Jeffrey Earhart, P.E. (407) 677-1012 – Phone (407) 677-1012 – Fax
RESPONSE -16-				
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. 225 E. Robinson St. STE 300 Orlando, FL 32801				
Paul W. Yeargain, P.E. (407) 839-4006 – Phone (407) 839-4008 – Fax				

Tabulated by B. Johnson - Posted October 22, 2007 (4:00 PM EST)

Short-listing Evaluation Committee Meeting: November 14, 2007 at 10:00 AM Eastern
Wekiva River Conference Room, 520 W. Lake Mary Blvd, Sanford, Florida 32773

Short-listed Firms: (Updated by B. Johnson 11/16/2007 @ 3:00 PM EST)

BCI Engineers & Scientists, Inc.

CDM

E Sciences, Inc.

MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc.

Reiss Environmental, Inc. Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. Presentations: (Updated by B. Johnson 11/27/2007 @ 3:30 PM EST)

December 19, 2007 at 1:00 PM EST

Community Services Conference Room #105, 534 W. Lake Mary Blvd, Sanford, FL 32773

BCI Engineers & Scientists, Inc.	1:00 - 1:25 PM
CDM	1:35 - 2:00 PM
E Sciences, Inc.	2:10 - 2:35 PM
MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc.	2:45 - 3:10 PM
Reiss Environmental, Inc.	3:20 - 3:45 PM
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	3:55 – 4:20 PM

Ranking and Authorization for Negotiation: (Updated by D. Santiago 12/26/2007 @ 1400)

January 22, 2007 at 0930 Board of County Commissioners Chamber

- 1. CDM
- 2. MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc.
- 3. BCI Engineers & Scientist, Inc.

Recommendation of Award:

PRESENTATION RANKINGS

PS-2468-07/BJH - Master Agreement for NPDES Services

	Albert English	Mark Flomerfelt	Kim Ornberg	S. Wetzel	Total	Ranking
BCI Engineers & Scientist, Inc.	3	3	2	-2	10	3
CDM	1	1	. 1.	1	4	. 1
E Sciences, Inc.	6	5	5	5	21	5
MACTEC Engineering & Consulting	2	2	3	2	9	2
Reiss Environmental , Inc.	5	4	6	6	21	5
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	3	6	4	4	17	4

12/19/2007

TIME

1:00 PM EST

DATE

PRESENTATION RANKINGS

PS-2468-07/BJH - Master Agreement for NPDES Services

The Evaluation Committee agrees to the following ranking:

Albert English

Wark Flomerfelt

Kim Ornberg

Shannon Wetze

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: **BCI ENGINEERS & SCIENTIST, INC.**

QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: AUBERT ENGUSH INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 90 - 10080 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 - 79Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Below 60 Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) STRENGTHS - SOUTH RASTERN; ALL TASK ARE ADRESS Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) STRENGTHS. SOUTH EASTERN; WORKING BOZ DOT IN 21 COUNTIES OUTFACCINSPECTIONS NPDES PERMIT DATA BASE - STRENGTHS NOW IN USE ON DOT PROJECTS ALSO Score 23 (0-100) Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) STRENGTHS - HOA INSPECTIONS & CREWS; DATA CAPTURE - LAPTOPS IN FIELD Score 7 TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points) **RANKING**

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: CDM
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: ABERT ENGUSIA
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)
UNDERSTANDING THE TASK - ALL TASK ARE APPROACH; STRENGTHS SWITH FASTERN JORNEY-STRENGTHS; WORKING WHOWELL CREEK BASIN-STRENGTHS UNDERSTANDING MAINSTAR WORK ORDER SYSEM CUMBATTEY IDSED BY THE COUNTY STRENGTHS
Score 44 (0-100)
Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)
CDM HAS COMPRETED RETROFITS OF PONDS - SIMILAR PROJECTS STRENGTHS WORKING WITH DOT - STRENGTHS - PASS WORK W/LAKE DESUP SIMILAR WORK W/NPDRS AND GOU STRENGTHS W/PASS BROJECTS WITH SEMINOGE COUNTY AND GOOD INSIGHT Score 26 (0-100)
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)
TRANSMIG ROOM IN ORLANDO FOR GOV. ENPLOYAGES TO TRAIN IF WEEDED - STRENGTH!
Score 18 (0-100)
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)
RANKING

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: <u>E SCIENCES, INC.</u>
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: ALBERT ENGLISH
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)
COUNTY DOUR NOT HAVE ALL DATA REGARDING SURVEY DATA PEZ E-BLIENCES - WEAK NPDES PERMITING FOR DOTE THIS TIME STRENGTHS TRAWING BIN COMPANY - STRENGTHS! PASS WORK W/SEM. CO. VEG MON.
Score <u>40</u> (0-100)
Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)
NPDES WORK FOR DOT BY E-SCIENCES AS SIMKAR WORK-STRENGTHS WORK ON CAKE JESUP IN PASS-STRENGTHS WORK W/SEMMOLE COUNTY IN PASS/PROJECTS - STRENGTHS
Score <u>22</u> (0-100)
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)
USE OF GIS & BPS FOR COCCECTIONS - STRENGTHS USE OF SEWER TO REDUCE TMDL'S - STRENGTHS
Score 1 ⁴ (0-100)
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)
RANKING

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: MACTEC EGINEERING & CONSULTING, INC.

QUALIFICATIO	N COMMITTEE MEMBER:	ALBERT ENG	5454	**************************************
INSTRUCTION: 90 – 100 80 – 89 70 – 79 60 – 69 Below 60	S: Score each criterion from Outstanding, out-of-the-box Excellent, Very Good, Solid Good, No major weaknesse Marginal, Weak, Workable Unacceptable, Needs majo	 Innovative, Cost/Time Sit in all respects. Fully Acceptable as is but needs clarifications 		ines:
Describe stren	gths, weaknesses and def	ficiencies to support you	ır assessment.	
Criteria: Projec	ct Approach and Understa	nding of Project: (50%)		
STREAKTHS- PRESENTATION	OVERACE GOOD ProJ ON DID NOT COVEN	ECT TASK TEAM B'. ALL PRONTET TASK	I MACTEC WEAKNESSES	
Criteria: Simila	ır Work of Proposed Team	ı: (30%)	Score (<u>(6</u> 0-100)
RETROFITS	D NPDES PASS WOI WCITT OF CAKECA WOLK IN WINTER HA	WO PROJECT BY	NACTEC - STRE	STIRENGTHS WETHS
,			Score	<u>29</u> 0-100)
	ative Ideas: (20%)			
SUBSURFAC	CE SEDIMENTATION PO	ONDS-STRENSTHS	FOR INNOVATION	WE CRITERIA
			Score) 6 0-100)
TOTAL SCORE	E (0-100 Points)			·
RANKING				

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: REISS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

	QUALIFICATIO	ON COMMITTEE MEMBER: ACBERT ENGLISH				
		Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable	eral guidelines:			
	Describe stre	ngths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessm	nent.	· ·		
	Criteria: Proje	ect Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)				
STRENGTHS	-600D APPA	ROACH TO PZOJECT AND TASK, PRESENTATION A	DDnesseD A	ALC CRITERIA		
			Score <u>4 (</u> (0-100)			
į	Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) "MASTER PLAN"					
	WORKED IN	THE PASS W/SEM. CO. ENVIRONMENTAL DEPA E COUNTY-STRENGTHS				
	STORM WAT	ASTER FRAN WORK IN JEMMOLE COUNTY - ST ER FACILITATE SYSTEM MAPFOR ORANGE CO	72ENGTHS DOUTT STREA Score 22 (0-100)	GTH S		
	Criteria: Innov	vative Ideas: (20%)	, ,			
	GOOD INA FOR PROJE	VOVATIVE IDEAS WITHTFIE USE OF GISE MA	PSYSTEMS			
			Score 17 (0-100)	·		
	TOTAL SCOR	E (0-100 Points)				

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC.

QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 - 100Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 - 79Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Below 60 Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) GOOD APPROACH REGARDING ALL TASK WITH SOUDTEAM MEMPERS Score 4 (0-100) Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) MSY PERMITING, NPDES WORK IN PASS ON PROJECTS LOCAL AREA-STRENGTHS STORMWATER RETROFITS EXPER. - STRENSTHS MARGINAL SIMILAR WORK IN RPDES APPROACH - WEAKNESSES Score 22 (0-100) Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) VHB-MOBILE GIS DATA COCCECTION PROCESS - STRENGTHS COST SAVINGS IN DATA COLLECTION SERVICES - STRENGTHS SEMMS INSPECTIONS VHC - STRENGTHS UNDER GROUND STORMWATER POND - STRENGTHS TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points) **RANKING**

Md (2/12/19/07

Presentation Scoring Sheet PS-2468-07/BHJ – Master Agreement for NPDES Services

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: BCI ENGINEERS & SCIENTIST, INC. **QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER:** INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 90 - 10080 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 70 - 79Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications 60 - 69Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Below 60 Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project; (50%) Score Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) Score Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) Score TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)

Mal Feb 12/19/07

Presentation Scoring Sheet PS-2468-07/BHJ – Master Agreement for NPDES Services

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: CDM QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 - 100Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 - 79Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) Score Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) SSMC- PRE TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)

MM Col 12/19/07

Presentation Scoring Sheet PS-2468-07/BHJ – Master Agreement for NPDES Services

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: E SCIENCES, INC.

QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 - 100Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 80 - 8970 - 79Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) — 70 Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) - 80 Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) — \$ TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points) **RANKING**

Mal (Il 14/140)

Presentation Scoring Sheet PS-2468-07/BHJ – Master Agreement for NPDES Services

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: MACTEC EGINEERING & CONSULTING, INC.
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: Flores
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) - (90) ALANA: IN-House Survey - Street - Company - C
Score 45 Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) 90 (0-100)
FIELD INSP-CHRISH. CITY OF WHATAVED CITY OF MAITIAND PROJECT LICEONED THE PONNS VILLAGE-MONTHONE KENSHAW CO. LAIR PANIER-LAIRLOWP Score 27
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)
DATA CASE MOS
Pe womenistra-sus (0-100)
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)
RANKING
CBOOKERS-PLOREILS ABB

MJ fl 12/10/07

Presentation Scoring Sheet PS-2468-07/BHJ – Master Agreement for NPDES Services

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: REISS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

	QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: FLOWEREL J	
•	QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: 3000 79 CO. 5	······
	INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings	guidelines:
	80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects.	
	70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications	
	Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable	· .
	Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment	
	Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)	
	Loop unprestands of Exette Eyste	
	FAR - 2 NOSWN INSPECTION COSTS	
	Onkthurs - ONWORD RESUSS -	ore 40
)		(0-100)
	Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)	
	Contacts word with T sittes	
700 7		MoreLs
	OMILE CO. US PASID BASE SO	
	Sc	ore <u>24</u>
	Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) 74	(0-100)
	Sound Involutive APROPOLES	
	PASTER AJALICE PAVEC	
	ATM - s	(14)
	17 W -	(0-100)
	TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)	#8
	RANKING	***************************************

MJ (14/4/52

Presentation Scoring Sheet PS-2468-07/BHJ – Master Agreement for NPDES Services

SUBMITTAL COMPANT NAME: VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC.	
	: <u> </u>
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: TOWK CLEAN	
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following g 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable	eneral guidelines:
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assess	sment.
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%))
Combine with of Foundate, Investi	
INCREDED - CHAMMENS COPIE SEMMS - STROMWOLL & ENVIRONMENTO	
- MAPPUL	6 MANNCENY
cheois for existe Bulls	Score 35
Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)	(0-100)
SFUND POSS - LOOAL WETLANDS/A	I a form -
MiBATEURN ACSOC Suc contractor	•
	Score\(0-100)
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)	(* 124)
SEMMS- ASSES BLET FUNCTION	
	Score_ 14_
	(0-100)
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)	10
	•

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: BCI ENGINEERS & SCIENTIST, INC.

QUALIFICATIO	N COMMITTEE MEMBER:	Kim Ornberg	
INSTRUCTIONS 90 - 100 80 - 89 70 - 79 60 - 69	S: Score each criterion from	es, Fully Acceptable as is but needs clarifications	
Describe streng	gths, weaknesses and del	ficiencies to support your asse	essment.
	it Approach and Understa in inventory-SESurve gold Red approach	nding of Project: (50%) Marp y; add'L data collection; to retroff - Ibs removed/	facility main tinspection system SWEMIS - experienced; Spent
Cuitonia, Simila	r Mark of Dranged Team	(30%)	Score 90 (45)
	r Work of Proposed Team		
IMPLIA	NPDES contract; i owkfor DEP - Sett couration	inventory: annual report ing TMDL'S; Lalula	
	-ti (000/)		Score $\frac{93}{(0-100)}$ (28)
	ative Ideas: (20%) &/previous work.W/in Grantassistance; re	County; training/stream/ eduction of construction	ining inspection program;
			Score <u>85</u> (17)
TOTAL SCORE	(0-100 Points)		90
DANKING			2

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: CDM				
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: Kim Ornberg				
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable				
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.				
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)				
SESM; construction inspection; BMAP; Hovell (reck Basin Beargully rightwood knox nutrient land; mainstar codes; re-evaluate monitoring plan; tech presentations/education;				
Score <u>49</u> (0-100)				
Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)				
Steve-TMBL; Danielle-PM/NPDES; Barika-NPDES SESM-onteam; numerous annual reports; permit re-application TMBL BMAD experience				
Score <u>30</u> (0-1 00) 30				
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)				
(mile creek work - Howell Creek Basin (#Sowlings); automate tradition resign processo Institutional Knowledge				
Score 19 (0-1 00) 20				
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)				

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: **E SCIENCES, INC.**

			1 ^ .	
	QUALIFICATIO	N COMMITTEE MEMBER: _	Kim Ornberg	
	INSTRUCTION 90 - 100 80 - 89 70 - 79 60 - 69 Below 60		es, Fully Acceptable as is out needs clarifications	general guidelines:
			iciencies to support your asse	ssment.
	Criteria: Projec	ct Approach and Understar	nding of Project: (50%)	•
icl	Add Lra	esources needed f ns + maintenancia ning/illicit discharge);	or program compliance nual morts: permit ver , reuse issues-	e: mobile GIS inventory enal; training (train+
				Score 42
	Criteria: Simila	ar Work of Proposed Team:	: (30%)	(0-100)
	NPDES e -pollutant	experience - DoT, as	rnual report, inspection Jesup BMXP; Veg.	nenttoring experience
	partnering for FDOT	g WMD on com	mercial/private - re	Score Preylarsly
	Criteria: Innov	rative Ideas: (20%)		(0-100)
/	rasual F instru nu	presentation: yea thentremoval (re	ional treatment form pensating treatment	cility Howell (reek;
_	> FDEPap	proved?- permittal t pollution removal	bility?	Score /- 6. (0-100)
		T POILLUIDA PEMANAL E (0-100 Points)	<u>K</u>	82
	RANKING			_5_

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: MACTEC EGINEERING & CONSULTING, INC.

	N COMMITTEE MEMBER: Kim Ornberg	•
QUALIFICATIO	N COMMITTEE MEMBER: 17/1/01/100/14	·····
90 - 100 80 - 89 70 - 79 60 - 69	S: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following gen Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable	eral guidelines:
Describe streng	gths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessm	nent.
NIDNES	t Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)	
Standar asset me	dization/autonization field work/GISBP: 3mt; mitigation	<u>Sintegration</u>
very goo	Pd .	Score <u>40</u> (0-160)
Criteria: Simila	r Work of Proposed Team: (30%)	(0-爾) 50
Ann Shor Annor-N	telle-excellent experience: Marty Waniel PDES; M. CICLEY-Inspections; Burns-Wa	lista: Alan ter Resources;
Cityof Ma TMDL-LK	itland-LK mgmtPlan; military base; Ke	Ershaw CO.; Score 27 (0-1 98)
Criteria: Innova	tive Ideas: (20%)	36
	ace Sectimentation Chambers (Villas of Streetrofits Whaturalsy stem regionation; stormwater reuse; redevelopment	Johns); LID, green
		Score 17
very	ood over all	(0-100) Z-0
TOTAL SCORE		84

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: REISS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: Kim Ornberg
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)
permit renewals; working wil cities currently; SW mgmt raster analysis to catalog waterbodies;
Great TMDL experience, Great PM experience 40 Great GIS experience; NPDES MS4 experience (0-100) Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) IS Lacking.
Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) 15 (acking.
Jim Murin (ENSVS); Jim Monahan-construction/cert inspector
provice Nevelopment GIS/Data Mgmt System excellent experience Bob Burleson - TMDL work - model review + regulated community model Scenario development (0-100)
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)
Peak flow discharge after roadway raster analysis for pond inventory
Wetland Outstanding TMDL experience!! Score 17 (0-100)
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)
RANKING

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC.	
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: Kim Ornberg	
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guideling 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable	nes:
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.	
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) Allocation Facilities Inventory-cities/FDOT; Credit for activities Very good approach SEMMS-Swdata; veg data; inventory	
Score (0) Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)	<u></u>
Michael Bateman Downtown Oriedo-Jesup Dasin-swreuse	
STRWMD permitted 15T; unpermitted score 2	<u>+</u> -100)
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)	+time!
GISmobik Data Application; LID principals; SEMM	1-45 savings
manitoring - bio-manitoring Score 10	7 -100)
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)	33
RANKING	7

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: BCI ENGINEERS & SCIENTIST, INC.

RANKING

QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 90 - 10080 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 - 79Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) COMPREHENSIVE OUERNIEW OF MPDES REPORTING -AUDIT PACKAGE FOR NPDES REPORT STRONG TIMBL EXPERIENCE - DEVELOPING THOU'S FOR DEP STRONG VEGETATION MONITORING Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) HAS EXTENSIVE KNOWLEDGE OF INVENTORY COUTH EASTERN PROJECTS SIMILAR Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) SAMNG MITIGATION TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: CDM
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: SHANNON WETZEL
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)
GOOD COMPREHENSIVE DADERSMUNING OF UPDES PROGRAM POENIOUS NEGOTIATING EXPERIENCE WITH DEP RE-APPLICATION STRONG TMDL UNDERSTANDING
Score 48 (0-100) 0-50
(0-100) 0-50 Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)
CDM HAS PAST WORK FRENIEUCE WITH NEDES REPORT SOUTHEASTERN SORVEYING & ON TEAM FOR INVENTIORY
SEVERAL REPROFIT PROJECTS URS AS A SUB FOR TMDL PROJECTS QUALITY PRODUCT DELIVERY, ON-TIME Score Q8 (0-100) 0-30
Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%)
PUTOCATO CNIL 3D ELECTRONIC SUPPORTING NOCHMENTATION FOR APPLIED BEPORT STATEWIDE EXPERIENCE THAT CAN BE APPLIED TO COUNTY PROJECT
SUSTAINABLE FUNDINGS INTEGRATED TRACKING AND REPORTING SYSTEM Score 17 HAVE IN-HOUSE EDUCATIONAL COTREACH (0-100) 0-20.
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points) 93
RANKING

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: <u>E SCIENCES, INC.</u>
QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER:
INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 – 100 Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 – 89 Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 – 79 Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 – 69 Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable
Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment.
Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%)
PROVIDE SUPPORT SERVICES FOR NPDES, 6000 UNDERSTANDING OF NPDES PROCESS STRONG TRAINING COMPONENT LIMITED TMDL MODELING EXPERIENCE
LIMITED TADL MODELING EXPERIENCE Score 40 40-100) 0-50
Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%)
SIMILAR FOOT EXPERIENCE - STORMWATER SYSTEM INSPECTION EXTENSIVE VEGETATION MONITORING EXPERIENCE
Score $\frac{25}{(0-100)}$ \bigcirc - 3 \bigcirc
MOBILE GIS SYSTEM FOR INJENTIORY - ALREADY HAVE
TORMWATER FROM GROWD WATER WASTEWATER TREATMENT TO REDUCE TIMDL GOALS Score (0-100) - 0 - 20
TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: MACTEC EGINEERING & CONSULTING, INC.

RANKING

QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 - 100Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 - 79Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) MPPROACH BUT WAD MANY NEW IDEAS FOR COMPREHENSIVE IN-HOUSE SYSTEM FOR INVENTORY LID, GREEN BUILDING CONBINING SUSTEMS WITH NATURAL SYSTEMS - STORMWA STORMWATER Score Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) TEAM ALL HAS EXTENSIVE KNOWLEDGE AND PAST = VPFLIFISCE EXTENSIVE VEGETATION MONITORING EXPERIENCE AUTOMATED SAMPLERS IN -HOUSE PROJECT EXPERIENCE Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) De. WANIELISTA SUB CONTRACTOR BUILDING FOR CITY OF MAITIANN CITIZEN CD Score SOURCE TESTING COLIFORM SEDIMENTATION CHANGERS CUBSURFACE TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points)

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: REISS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 - 100Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 - 79Good, No major weaknesses, Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) BASIC UNDERSTANDING HAS LOWER ST. JOHNS RIVER TADL EXPERIENCE THAT APPLICABLE ATM HAS EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE VEGETATION MONITORING Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) ENV. SERVICES COUNTY PLANNING TMDL SYSTEM GIS / DATA MANAGEMENT - ASSET DRUNGE COUNTY Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) RUNOFF -ASSET MANAGEMENT Score TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points) **RANKING**

SUBMITTAL COMPANY NAME: VANASSE HANGEN BRUSTLIN, INC. MIETZEL MONNON QUALIFICATION COMMITTEE MEMBER: INSTRUCTIONS: Score each criterion from 1 to 100 based on the following general guidelines: 90 - 100Outstanding, out-of-the-box, Innovative, Cost/Time Savings 80 - 89Excellent, Very Good, Solid in all respects. 70 - 79Good, No major weaknesses. Fully Acceptable as is 60 - 69Marginal, Weak, Workable but needs clarifications Below 60 Unacceptable, Needs major help to be acceptable Describe strengths, weaknesses and deficiencies to support your assessment. Criteria: Project Approach and Understanding of Project: (50%) INSIECTION UNDERSTAND INC OF NPDES MONITORING IN NPDES C'EPOLT EXPERIENCE (DF TOJ A Criteria: Similar Work of Proposed Team: (30%) EXTENSIVE VEGETATION MONITORING EXPERIENCE BATEMAN'S POLLUTANT LOAD ASSESSMENT TOOL CHELYL MOOLE PETERINGING BATEMAN - BTOPDES EXPERIENCE CHAMPIONSGATE STORMWATER INSPECTIONS (SEMMS Criteria: Innovative Ideas: (20%) DATA APPLICATIONS MOBILE MANUAL TO A DOT PROJECT COLLECTION - COST DATA STORMWATER DOWNTOWN WINTER SPRINGS -1 RRIGATION Score_ (0-100) O-20 PERMIT TRACKING TOTAL SCORE (0-100 Points) **RANKING**

EVALUATION RANKINGS
PS-2468-07/BHJ - Master Agreement for National Pollutant Elimination Discharge System (NPDES) Services

	G. Eby	M. Flomerfelt	K.Ornberg	M. Pluchino	A. English	S. Wetzel	TOTAL POINTS	RANKING
BCI Engineers & Scientists, Inc.	3	2	2	2	4	2	15	2
Bentley Architects + Engineers, Inc.	11	13	10	12	6	15	67	12
Blo-Tech Consulting, Inc.	15	14	14	15	14	14	86	14
CDM	1	1	1	1	3	1	8	1
E Sciences, Inc.	4	5	11	6	2	8	3 6	4
EMS Scientists, Engineers, Planners, Inc	9	9	5	10	13	4	50	8
H & T Consultants, Inc.	16	16	15	16	16	12	91	16
MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc.	2	3	4	3	1	3	16	3
PSI, Inc.	5	8	9	7	8	10	47	7
Qore Property Sciences	13	15	16	14	15	16	89	15
Reiss Environmental, Inc.	10	4	3 ,	4	10	5	36	4
Royal Consulting Services, Inc.	8	10	6	8	7	11	50	8
Storm H2O, LLC	12	6	12	11	9	9	59	11
Sutron Corporation	14	11	13	9	12	13	72	13
TEK Science and Engineering	7	7	8	13	11	7	53	10
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.	6	12	7	5	5	6	41	6

The Evaluation Committee agrees to short-list the following firms:

BCI Engineers & Scientists, Inc.

CDM

E Sciences, Inc.

MACTEC Engineering & Consulting, Inc.

Reiss Environmental, Inc. Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc.

Gloria Eby

Mark Samoriott

Kim Ornhera

Viarianne Pluchino

Albert English

Shannon Wetzel

SEMINOLE COUNTY NPDES CONSULTANT CONTRACT

Consultant Services Exhibit "A"

SCOPE OF SERVICES

SCOPE OF SERVICES

I. PURPOSE:

The Seminole County Government, desires the professional services of a CONSULTANT to assist the Roads Stormwater Division in NPDES related compliance issues, including but not limited to inspections, monitoring, and assessment of County-owned facilities, in accordance with National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) applications and permits. The analyses and recommendations provided by the CONSULTANT will provide valuable input into the development of new programs and compliance with NPDES mandated programs.

II. POTENTIAL WORK EFFORTS

For the support of NPDES mandated programs the CONSULTANT may perform the following typical tasks including but not limited to:

A. Facilities Inventory/Mapping and Surveying:

Coordinate with FDOT District 5 and the seven incorporated Cities (Altamonte Springs, Casselberry, Lake Mary, Longwood, Oviedo, Sanford and Winter Springs) to assess, evaluate and compile information from available sources, for the inventory maintenance of COUNTY specific stormwater management facilities. Other activities include:

Assess and evaluate information obtained through the NPDES application process.

Assess and evaluate existing COUNTY, FDOT District 5, and Municipal Information.

Coordinate with COUNTY personnel and compile information to maintain and supplement the existing inventory.

This inventory may consist of, but is not limited to:

- Storm sewer systems (i.e., culvert location, size, type, age).
- Natural and man-made drainage features (i.e., watercourses, ditches).
- Watershed and basin delineation.
- Drainage patterns and connectivity.
- Structural controls (i.e., retention/detention ponds, discharge structures, etc.)
- Dischargers to COUNTY's MS4.
- Drainage and conservation easements.
- Land use information.

Mapping/surveying services may also include the field verification of drainage system features and locations, where required.

B. Facility Maintenance and Inspection System:

Implement the stormwater facility maintenance and inspection management program.

 Coordinate with COUNTY personnel to consolidate and streamline COUNTY's stormwater facility inspection and maintenance program to include County Standards and the requirements of NPDES, WMD, and other agency permits.

C. Field Inspections:

Conduct inspections of COUNTY stormwater controls (ponds, retention/detention facilities).

Inspect assigned stormwater facilities and outfalls and submit written reports in accordance with COUNTY and permitting agency criteria. The CONSULTANT is to provide field personnel that are trained and experienced in this type of inspection service. The CONSULTANT is to provide staff certified in the application of various Erosion and Sediment Control practices.

Conduct inspections of permitted construction activities.

- Inspect assigned construction sites and submit written reports in accordance with COUNTY and NPDES permitting agency criteria. The CONSULTANT is to provide staff certified in the application of various Erosion and Sediment Control practices.
- Perform requested water quality monitoring on assigned construction sites and submit reports in accordance with permit conditions.
- Conduct inspections of and perform water quality sampling on NPDES designated outfalls, in accordance with COUNTY's NPDES Permits.

D. Surface Water Management System Retrofits:

The CONSULTANT may be required to provide design drawings for pond retrofits that have been identified for County stormwater ponds as a result of ongoing NPDES pond inspections. A re-design and/or retrofit may be necessary in order to maximize the pollutant removal efficiency of identified ponds. Services should

include compilation of engineering design drawings and all other necessary information to effectively obtain approval from the St. Johns River Water Management District to conduct the proposed retrofits. The use of low impact design criteria may also be incorporated into the proposed pond retrofits.

E. NPDES Annual Report Preparation:

Prepare the NPDES annual report consistent with the COUNTY's permit requirements. Prepare the application for the resubmittal of the COUNTY's third, five-year NPDES permit.

F. TMDL Development:

Assist County/FDEP staff in the development of TMDL's (Total Maximum Daily Loads) for "impaired" water bodies/segments. Prepare interlocal agreements as it relates to TMDL and/or NPDES activities. Coordinate with the COUNTY's NPDES co-applicants and TMDL stakeholders where necessary throughout the execution of this contract.

G. Training:

Provide facilities, materials, and personnel for NPDES training activities, including but not limited to, erosion and sediment control training, pond inspections and stormwater operator certification. Provide and/or develop materials for NPDES public information programs.

H. <u>Vegetation Monitoring:</u>

Conduct upland and/or wetland vegetation monitoring, including report preparation in accordance with applicable state and federal permits.